Dublin, September 7. 1855

Dear Mr Macy - The letter which accompanies this [or which this accompanies] was sent open to me some days ago, with a note from Mr Macstay, in which he said it was for my reading or not as I might see fit, and that he left it entirely to my discretion to forward when an opportunity offered. Opportunities are almost as much open to him as to me. However, as P. Pillsbury is not likely to go as he seemed to be then, I had better commit the letter to Her Majesty's care, & in doing so I will make a few remarks about the state of things here.

I had a letter from P.P. yesterday. He tells me that he has been encouraged to remain by some friends - and that from the way Julia Griffiths is succeeding with G. Thompson, in getting Douglass puffed in the Empire, & from somebody having said in that paper that the English peasants is in a worse off than the Carolina slave, he feels it necessary to have some one in the way to prevent mischief. He complains that the Liberator has been laughing George Thompson and copying from the Empire an article written by him (P.P.) and published as editorial by G.T. You see the poor man is extremely thin skinned and morbidly sensitive. I tell him that in publishing an editorial any thing communicated by another, a London editor pays him the highest compliment & puts him offering in the best way of being read - which is true. But Pillsbu

is not confuted, & dont like to be robbed of his thunder. I have read what S.T. or some one else (most probably S.T.) has said of Douglass. All that he says is true. his Character of his moral Education, or rather Nancy Curtis, is given — and the article is penned with manifest caution. Still, I think Thompson ought to have alluded to Douglass's last comings, or else have declined to listen his book. It is my impression that he is vindy united that Joseph Stinger authority over him is contiderable.

Pillsbury says he is busy the wrath of this Quarter, here Broadth, and Boston on his head if he comes out against S.T. I tell him he need not care for the two first, and that I will guarantee him from the last. I think our friends in Boston are in the right not to quarrel with S.T. after all his past services, unless they are prepared to do so, and I tell P.P. that Garrison is not the man to hold back his testimony in favor of Thompson at any one else, when it is really demanded at his hands. Thompson is entitled to great forbearance — and must be carried tenderly, his case should be gently dealt with. As to what has been said in the way of comparing the American Slave with the English peasant, to the disadvantage of the latter, I attribute it solely to some mistake. It must probably was put in by somebody without S.T.'s knowledge and he may have never seen it

Salisbury also remarks that the advocate
has left little encouragement from them.
This is true; and how especially since the London
Conference, which (as you may remember) was
not referred to the advocate to his credit. I
said very little; but that little has irritated
him exceedingly against me — whom he looked
upon (as I was in degree) as a disciple of his; but not
in that second authorized to depart from the
truth in a statement of facts. In circum-
stances of position I am perfectly independent of
him — although it would be a matter of feeling, ex-
ceedingly painful to me to be placed in any
degree in a state of antagonism to him.

P. P. has an idea that I do not favour his
remaining. The truth is, that if his remaining
depended on any good that he could do here,
I would thank him to remain; but from actual
England & Scotland he knows more personal
residence than I do, than for both informants
in the parts of the cases there. When they en-
courage him, he should by all means stay.
When he writes for rent and a home, he is always
welcome here if they be known very well.

His state of health, perhaps, and his somewhat
epileptic sensitiveness, combined with a lively
imagination & his peculiar temperament lead
him to magnify the importance of difficulties
— to think more of the harm they may do to
the cause, and of the influence he may wield
against them than I think justified by
the facts of the case. Still it is only that he
should feel as if he had only to do and was
able to do it

About Mr Armstrong the Letters, my wife remarked that that Mr A. has said might as well have been left unsaid. But Mr A. is an Irishman & demonstrative, and it is no wonder that at this time he keenly feels the absence of that kind regret which is felt by so many of his Letters surviving friends. Mr L. was somewhat cold & distant to demonstration, unfeeling, such people. I know he held back from Mr A. on this account. I wonder how he was to conduct himself towards me, who am not unlike Mr A. in my tendencies. I attribute the difference to the fact that Mr L. had to look a good deal to me for his information, when he began his autobiography labours, and this brought on a degree of intimacy which was a great blessing and gratification to me. Of course Mr L. has been by this time Rev. Mr James's admirable memoirs of him. It is faithful I trust, & as far as I can see without exaggeration.

I am aware that Mrs Julia Griffiths is in England working away on the hands for his portrait. She does not disturb me - for I know his efforts are only for an indefinite hour at a time. Ours are for a lifetime.

You will gather from what I have said that Mr Armstrong sent his letter open to me accompanied by a note from himself, that I send this letter by way of explanation of that, and some other matters

Yours ever truly

Richd D Webb

MS. B.1.6 v.15. p.21